

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,654	04/09/2001	Frank Preiss	00P7569US01/059001	9718
26161 75	90 10/03/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			HO, CHUONG T	
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEALOE	, 14114 33 1 PO-1022		2664	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U
	09/829,654	PREISS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHUONG T. HO	2664	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMI 36(a). In no event, however, vill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			!
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	·	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the construct	epted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or b) on is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been receive s have been receive ity documents have (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National .	Stage
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/26/01.     </li> </ol>	Pap	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC er:	D-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/829,654 Page 2

Art Unit: 2664

1. Claims 1-16 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sarkissian et al. (U.S.Patent No. 6,529,502 B2) in view of Ober (U.S.Patent No. 6,202,104 B1).

In the claim 1, see figure 3, Sarkissian et al. discloses a processor for in a Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) (see col. 11, line 35) telephone comprising:

- A bus (communications system 50 includes at least three separate types of buses, e.g., TDM bus 78, packet bus 80A (or 80B) or control bus 92) (see figure 3, col. 7, lines 50-62);
- A processor (79C DSP) core coupled to the bus (see figure 3);
- A packet handler (LAN BUS 81) coupled to the bus, the packet handler including plurality of first port for interfacing to one or more workstations (24) (see figure 3, col. 9, lines 32-35);
- A voice handler coupled to the bus, the voice handler including at least one second port for interface to a telephone (12) (see figure 3, col. 8, lines 60-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/829,654 Page 3

Art Unit: 2664

 A cell/frame handler (ATM 79B) coupled to the bus (78), the cell/frame handler adapted to couple to one or more packet networks (see figure 3, col. 9, lines 20-21);

A peripheral control processor (DSP 76) coupled to the bus (84).

However, Sarkissina et al. is silent to disclosing a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests.

Ober (U.S.Patent No. 6,202,104 B1) discloses a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests (see figure 1, col. 2, lines 62-67).

Both Sarkissina and Ober discloses the peripheral control processor and buffer memory access. Ober recognizes a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Sarkissina with the teaching of Ober to provide a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests in order to consumes power unnecessary.

- 3. In the claim 5, see figure 3, Sarkissian et al. discloses a processor for in a Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) (see col. 11, line 35) telephone comprising:
  - A bus (communications system 50 includes at least three separate types of buses, e.g., TDM bus 78, packet bus 80A (or 80B) or control bus 92) (see figure 3, col. 7, lines 50-62);
  - A processor (79C DSP) core coupled to the bus (see figure 3);

 A packet handler (LAN BUS 81) coupled to the bus, the packet handler including plurality of first port for interfacing to one or more workstations (24) (see figure 3, col. 9, lines 32-35);

- A voice handler coupled to the bus, the voice handler including at least one second port for interface to a telephone (12) (see figure 3, col. 8, lines 60-67).
- A cell/frame handler (ATM 79B) coupled to the bus (78), the cell/frame handler adapted to couple to one or more packet networks (see figure 3, col. 9, lines 20-21);
- A peripheral control processor (DSP 76) coupled to the bus (84).

However, Sarkissina et al. is silent to disclosing a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests.

Ober (U.S.Patent No. 6,202,104 B1) discloses a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests (see figure 1, col. 2, lines 62-67).

Both Sarkissina and Ober discloses the peripheral control processor and buffer memory access. Ober recognizes a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Sarkissina with the teaching of Ober to provide a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests in order to consumes power unnecessary.

4. In the claim 9, see figure 3, Sarkissian et al. discloses a processor for in a Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) (see col. 11, line 35) telephone comprising:

A local area network (see col. 9, lines 33-35, lines 48-50);

Application/Control Number: 09/829,654

Art Unit: 2664

A workstation (24, figure 3);

A Voice over Internet Protocol interface coupling the telephone (12) coupling the telephone and the workstation (24) to the local area network (see col. 9, lines 48-50), the VoIP interface including VoIP (see col. 11, line 24, 35) processor, the voice processor including:

- A bus (communications system 50 includes at least three separate types of buses, e.g., TDM bus 78, packet bus 80A (or 80B) or control bus 92) (see figure 3, col. 7, lines 50-62);
- A processor (79C DSP) core coupled to the bus (see figure 3);
- A packet handler (LAN BUS 81) coupled to the bus, the packet handler including plurality of first port for interfacing to one or more workstations (24) (see figure 3, col. 9, lines 32-35);
- A voice handler coupled to the bus, the voice handler including at least one second port for interface to a telephone (12) (see figure 3, col. 8, lines 60-67).
- A cell/frame handler (ATM 79B) coupled to the bus (78), the cell/frame handler adapted to couple to one or more packet networks (see figure 3, col. 9, lines 20-21);
- A peripheral control processor (DSP 76) coupled to the bus (84).

However, Sarkissina et al. is silent to disclosing a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests.

Ober (U.S.Patent No. 6,202,104 B1) discloses a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests (see figure 1, col. 2, lines 62-67).

Both Sarkissina and Ober discloses the peripheral control processor and buffer memory access. Ober recognizes a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Sarkissina with the teaching of Ober to provide a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests in order to consumes power unnecessary.

5. In the claim 13, see figure 3, Sarkissian et al. discloses a processor for in a Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) (see col. 11, line 35) telephone comprising:

A local area network (see col. 9, lines 33-35, lines 48-50);

A workstation (24, figure 3);

A Voice over Internet Protocol interface coupling the telephone (12) coupling the telephone and the workstation (24) to the local area network (see col. 9, lines 48-50), the VoIP interface including VoIP (see col. 11, line 24, 35) processor, the voice processor including:

- A bus (communications system 50 includes at least three separate types of buses, e.g., TDM bus 78, packet bus 80A (or 80B) or control bus 92) (see figure 3, col. 7, lines 50-62);
- A processor (79C DSP) core coupled to the bus (see figure 3);
- A packet handler (LAN BUS 81) coupled to the bus, the packet handler including plurality of first port for interfacing to one or more workstations (24) (see figure 3, col. 9, lines 32-35);

Art Unit: 2664

• A voice handler coupled to the bus, the voice handler including at least one second port for interface to a telephone (12) (see figure 3, col. 8, lines 60-67).

- A cell/frame handler (ATM 79B) coupled to the bus (78), the cell/frame handler adapted to couple to one or more packet networks (see figure 3, col. 9, lines 20-21);
- A peripheral control processor (DSP 76) coupled to the bus (84).

However, Sarkissina et al. is silent to disclosing a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests.

Ober (U.S.Patent No. 6,202,104 B1) discloses a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests (see figure 1, col. 2, lines 62-67).

Both Sarkissina and Ober discloses the peripheral control processor and buffer memory access. Ober recognizes a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Sarkissina with the teaching of Ober to provide a peripheral control processor to the bus for handling interrupts and DMA requests in order to consumes power unnecessary.

6. In the claims 2, 6, 10, 14, Sarkissina discloses the packet handler includes a bus bridge (LAN BUS) for interfacing to the bus, a 10/100b T interface, a wireless LAN interface, a Universal serial bus interface, and a home phoneline networking alliance interface (see col. 9, lines 32-50).

Application/Control Number: 09/829,654

Art Unit: 2664

7. In the claims 3, 7, 11, 15, Sarkissina discloses the voice handler includes a bus bridge (TDM BUS) for interface to the bus, one or more PCM ports (POT), a mailbox, and DSP core (DSP 79C) (see col. 8, lines 60-67).

Page 8

8. In the claims 4, 8, 12, 16, Sarkissina discloses the cell/frame handler (ATM 79B) includes a frame handler (ATM) for sending and receiving frames over the one or more packet networks (packet 1, packet 2) an ATM handler for sending and receiving data over ATM network, and an encryption unit for encrypting the data (see col. 11, lines 30-38).

## Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHUONG T. HO whose telephone number is (571) 272-3133. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10/02/05

EVELLINGTON CHIN